

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/813,635	03/30/2004	Ge Shi	004320.P072	3847	
62294 7590 10/10/2007 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 1279 Oakmead Parkway			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			TRAN, DO	TRAN, DOUGLAS Q	
Sunnyvale, CA 94085-4040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2625		
		•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/813,635	SHI, GE
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Douglas Q. Tran	2625
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA.  136(a). In no event, however, may a repl d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION.  by be timely filed  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 / 2a)</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b) Th</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under</li> </ul>	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matter	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the second seco	ccepted or b) objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  Ints have been received in Apporting the control of the	olication No eceived in this National Stage
DOUGLAS PRIMARY E  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Sur Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/813,635 Page 2

Art Unit: 2625

## Election/Restriction

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-5, are drawn to a method of automatic white balancing characterized by determining an illuminant source by identifying a predefined white area of a color space diagram having a highest number of pixels and determining a gain adjustment based on the values of R, G, B.

- II. Claims 6-7, are drawn to a method of identifying an illuminant source of a captured image characterized by calculating the ratios of G/R, G/B for <u>a pixel of the captured</u> <u>image</u> and plotting the ratios of G/rand G/B.
- III. Claims 8-9, are drawn to method of determining a gain adjustment for automatic white balance characterized by determining a gain adjustment based on the values of R, G, B (without determining an illuminant source by identifying a predefined white area of a color space diagram having a highest number of pixels as in claim 1).
- IV. Claims 10, are drawn to a method of automatic white balancing characterized by accumulating a R value, a G value, and Blue value for each the pixel that has the G/R ration and G/B ratio inside a predefined white area of the color space diagram.
- V. Claims 11-15, are drawn to a method of predefining a white area in a color space diagram characterized by calculating the ratios of G/R and G/B for a white color block, and determining a white area for each the illuminant type.
- VI. Claims 16, are drawn to a method of predefining a white area in a color space diagram characterized by using a color chart having a plurality of color blocks including a white,

Art Unit: 2625

a gray 1 to gray 4 and a black color block under a target source and calculating the ratios of G/R and G/B for each **the color block**.

VII. Claims 17-20, are drawn to an apparatus for automatic white balance characterized by an area selection module for determining a predefined white area of a color space diagram for a pixel (not for a highest number of pixels that addressed in claim 1), and a decide gain value module for determining a gain adjustment (without based on any thing).

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions from I to VII are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, each invention has separate utility such as operating either independently or in combination with other subcombinations according to the particular claimed limitations which characterize the invention, without requiring the particular limitations which characterize the other invention(s), as indicated above. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, requiring separate consideration and search, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 of the other inventions.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,635

Art Unit: 2625

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

## **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas Q. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7442.

Oct. 01, 2007

DOUGLAS Q.TRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4